

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/684,230	10/06/2000	Jeffrey W. Stone	RA-0336	3176
75	590 11/22/2002		•	
D Scott Sudderth Esquire			EXAMINER	
Womble Carlyle Sandridge & Rice PLLC P O Box 7037			JENKINS, DANIEL J	
Atlanta, GA 3	0357-7527		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			1742	6
			DATE MAILED: 11/22/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1							
•	Application No.	Applicant(s)	PC				
	09/684,230	STONE, JEFFREY	w. U				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Daniel J. Jenkins	1742					
The MAILING DATE f this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this con D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nmunication.				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 J	<u>uly 2002</u> .						
,	is action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4) \boxtimes Claim(s) <u>1-34</u> is/are pending in the application							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) <u>13-32</u> is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) <u>11,33 and 34</u> is/are rejected.	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>11,33 and 34</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10 and 12</u> is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.						
Application Papers On The englishestion is objected to by the Evamine							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	·						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e	e) (to a provisional a	application).				
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal F	/ (PTO-413) Paper No(s Patent Application (PTO					
S. Patent and Trademark Office							

Art Unit: 1742

- 1. The Examiner has carefully considered Applicant's Response of 7/29/02. At this time, the Examiner makes a new rejection addressing Applicant's issues raised in 7/29/02, which is accordingly not made final.
- 2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

3. Claims 13, 14, 17, 33 and 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Lowden et al.

Lowden et al. disclose a lead free projectile having a density less than the theoretical density of lead (Table IV, W-Sn example).

Lowden et al. further disclose wherein the projectile is formed by cold compaction (col. 4, lines 45-50).

The high ductility metal powder, Sn, is less dense than Pb.

Art Unit: 1742

The disclosed example of W-Sn meets the limitation to a high ductility metal powder, Sn, and a low ductility powder, W, wherein the low ductility powder has a density less than Pb.

The fraction of weight of 58/42 results in a vol. ration of 0.52.

The Examiner looks to the W-Al II example to provide a disclosure with a density of 82.3% of Pb and a ratio of 0.37, meeting the limitations of claim 11 of about 80% of Pb and of claim 17 of about 0.4.

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

ı

Art Unit: 1742

6. Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lowden et al.

Lowden et al. disclose the invention substantially as claimed (see paragraph 3 above). However, Lowden et al. do not disclose wherein the density is about 0.26 to a bout 0.28 lbm/cubic inch, but disclose, for example W-Sn with a density of about 0.35 lbm/cubic inch (9.76 g/cm3).

Lowden et al. further teach wherein frangibility can be controlled by varying density (col. 9, lines 59-68).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to increase the amount of Sn, bringing the density of the projectile into the claimed range, in the invention of Lowden et al. for the purpose of making the projectile less frangible, as taught by Lowden et al.

- 7. Claims 1-10 is objected to because of the following informalities: in claim1, line 1, "or" appears to be a typo for --of--. Appropriate correction is required.

 Claims 1-10 are free of the prior art
- 8. Claims 13-32 are allowable.
- 9. Claim 12 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 1742

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel J. Jenkins whose telephone number is 703-306-4157. The examiner can normally be reached on M-TH6:30AM-5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Roy King can be reached on 703-308-1146. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9593 for regular communications and 703-305-7719 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

Ďárliel J. Jenkins Primary Examiner Art Unit 1742

dj October 21, 2002